

A.—Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—

	1956	1957	1958	1-1-1959 to 31-1-1959	Total
(a)	11	14	19	...	44
(b)	2	3	4	...	9

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಸ್. ಬೊಮ್ಮೇಗೌಡ.—1957 ರಿಂದ 1959ರವರೆಗೆ 44 ಖಾನಿ ಕೇಸುಗಳಾಗಿದ್ದು ಅವುಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ ಕೇವಲ ಒಂಬತ್ತು ಕೇಸುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾತ್ರ ತಪ್ಪುಸಾಬೀತಾಗಿದೆ. ಉಳಿದ ಕೇಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಪತ್ತೆ ಹಚ್ಚಲು ಏನು ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ಈ ಖಾನಿ ಕೇಸುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲ ಕೇಸುಗಳಲ್ಲೂ ಕನ್ವಿಕ್ಷನ್ ಆಗುವುದು ಬಹಳ ಕಷ್ಟ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಜಿ. ಎಸ್. ಬೊಮ್ಮೇಗೌಡ.—11ಕ್ಕೆ 2ಕೇಸು; 19ಕ್ಕೆ 4 ಕೇಸುಗಳು ಪತ್ತೆ ಆಗಿರುತ್ತವೆಂದರೆ, ಇದು ಪೊಲೀಸ್ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ದಕ್ಷತೆಯ ಅಭಾವವನ್ನು ಸೂಚಿಸುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—I.P.C. 302ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ನಡೆದ ಕೇಸುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಎವಿಡೆನ್ಸ್ ಒದಗಿಸುವುದು ಬಹಳ ಕಷ್ಟ. Circumstantial evidence ಮೇಲೆ conviction ಪಡೆಯುವುದು ಬಹಳ ಕಷ್ಟ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜಿ. ಮುಕ್ಕಣ್ಣಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ಖಾನಿ ಕೇಸು ಗಳು ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಆದುವು ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Most of the murders are due to family and domestic disputes.

Sri S. D. KOTHAVALA.—May I know whether the acquittals are due to faulty investigation by the police because circumstantial evidence is very important ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—There may be some faulty investigations. But according to section 302 it is very difficult to prove. Circumstantial evidence will be taken into consideration at times if the proof is beyond doubt.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಫ್. ಜಿ. ನರಸಿಂಹೇಗೌಡ.—ಮುರ್ದರ ಕೇಸುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪತ್ತೆ ಹಚ್ಚುವಂಥ ದಕ್ಷ ಪೊಲೀಸರು ನಮ್ಬುತ್ತಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಡಿ. ಜತ್ತಿ.—ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಾಗಲೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—Are the Government aware that many cases have failed due to the appointment of inefficient Prosecutors ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Not correct. Due to lack of evidence in the local areas it is likely that the accused will be acquitted.

Government Advertisements.

*Q.—274. Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN (Kolar Gold Fields).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) whether they have appointed a Madras firm to handle all Government advertisements ;

(b) whether they could not secure the services of a Mysore firm for the purpose ;

(c) the volume of business proposed to be transacted and actually transacted up-to-date ?

A.—Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—

(a) Yes.

(b) No.

(c) As advertisements are issued by each Department of Government out of the grants of their own Departments, it is not possible to indicate the volume of business already transacted or to be transacted.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Supplementary to (b) : Is it the opinion of Government that there are no Mysore firms engaged in advertising business ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Government received only two applications ; out of the two the present applicant was selected as he was thought to be a better person.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—My question is : is it the opinion of Government that there is no firm in Mysore engaged in that business ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It has its office in Mysore State.

Sri C. M. ARUMUGHAM.—Will it not be in the interests of Government to entrust it to local papers ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Under the circumstances it was not possible. This was only a temporary arrangement. The suggestion of my friend Sri Arumugham will be considered.

ಶ್ರೀ ಇ. ನಾರಾಯಣಗೌಡ.—ಜಾಹಿರಾತುಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಚೀಷ್ ತರಿಸಿ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರೋ ಅಥವಾ ತಮಗೆ ಬೇಕಾದವರಿಗೆ, ಇಷ್ಟಬಂದವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತೀರೋ ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Government have appointed one agent. He will give advertisement to the papers and he is allowed only 15 per cent of the commission.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Are the Government aware that this arrangement affects the interests of the local press ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—I do not know how it is going to affect their interests. It is paying to the Government.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—Who is the authority who actually sanctions advertisement to be given in regard to industrial concerns ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—In all industrial concerns we have got a committee.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—Who is the person responsible for giving advertisement business to the Madras Firm ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Government.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—Particular department or officer ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—Not any particular department ; it is the Minister who takes full responsibility.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—May I know whether any local press would not have managed this advertisement business more effectively ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—We thought it was not possible. If that is the opinion of the Hon'ble Member we do consider it.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—May I know the volume of business entrusted to this firm ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—It will be roughly not more than one lakh for all industries put together.

Sri C. K. RAJIAH SETTY.—Are the Government aware that the papers in Northern India are not publishing about Mysore products ?

Sri B. D. JATTI.—The Hon'ble Member is a member of the Board ; He knows it.

Paddy acquired during 1957-58.

* Q.—299. **Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY** (Coondapoor).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the total quantity of paddy acquired by the Government in the year 1957-58 ;

(b) in what places and in whose custody was this paddy stored ;

(c) whether any portion of this paddy was condemned as spoilt out of the total stock and if so how much, in which of the godowns and what is the value of the same ;

(d) whether the Government suffered loss in the transaction and to what extent ;

(e) who was directly in charge of this stock and its disposal ?

A.—Sri K. F. PATIL (Minister for Agriculture and Food).—

(a) About 242,600 pallas paddy were purchased under the Voluntary Procurement Scheme.

(b) The paddy was stored in Shimoga, Coorg, Belgaum and Dharwar districts in the custody of the rice mill-owners from whom suitable agreements had been obtained.

(c) No.

(d) It is not possible to estimate at this stage the loss if any in the transaction as the stocks have not yet been disposed of completely.

(e) Rice mill-owners were in charge of the stock up to the hulling of paddy and delivering the rice to the distributing agent. The distribution of rice throughout the Mysore State was entrusted to the Mysore State Co-operative Marketing Society, Ltd., Bangalore.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—To my question (b) Government have given names of certain districts. Are there not particular persons who are in custody of this paddy ?

Sri K. F. PATIL.—In these districts rice mill-owners have been entrusted with that work.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—Have not the Government been apprised of the stocks in the possession of each rice mill-owner ?

Sri K. F. PATIL.—Rice mill-owners are in possession of the stocks.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—May I know the actual quantities in possession of the rice mill-owners ?

Sri K. F. PATIL.—That cannot be said exactly. It is more than 6,000 tons at present. It is not in the form of rice ; it is in the form of paddy.